



# Connecticut

## *Connecticut Chapter of the American Planning Association*

---

Government Relations Chairman: Christopher S. Wood, AICP  
Phone: 203 558-0654      [woodplanning@charter.net](mailto:woodplanning@charter.net)      [www.ccapa.org](http://www.ccapa.org)

**FEBRUARY 28, 2007**

### **PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

---

#### **HB – 7041: AN ACT CONCERNING ZONING ENFORCEMENT**

**SUMMARY:** HB-7041 would amend Connecticut General Statutes Section 8-12a to remove the liability of zoning enforcement officers for issuance of a citation found by the court to be frivolous or without probable cause.

**ANALYSIS:** Section 8-12a authorizes municipalities to adopt an ordinance establishing penalties for zoning violations. This revision of that statute would remove the liability clause that exposes enforcement officers to treble damages if the court finds that a citation under such an ordinance was issued frivolously or without probable cause. This change would make the zoning enforcement procedures the same as those applied to inland wetlands and watercourse procedures, found in CGS Section 22a-42g.

Currently, the General Statutes provide a comprehensive procedure intended to ensure effective enforcement of regulations while protecting the rights of property owners. Any decision of an enforcement officer may be appealed to a Zoning Board of Appeals, under Section 8-7. The decision of that board may be appealed to court in accordance with Section 8-8, which may result in a mediation process defined in Section 8-8a. Where an ordinance is adopted to establish a violation penalty procedure, the imposition of any fine is in accordance with the hearing procedures established by Section 7-152c, which such action can be appealed to court. Ample safeguards are in place to prevent abuse of the process by enforcement officers.

Because of the risk and uncertainty to municipal staff, municipalities are unwilling to adopt an ordinance to establish a citation procedure and, even if adopted, enforcement officers may be unwilling to exercise such citation authority. The result is less effective and more costly regulation enforcement. **CCAPA** is not aware of any similar provision applying to a public employee, such as a building inspector, fire marshal, or sanitarian, fulfilling his or her code enforcement responsibility.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** This bill could reduce municipal costs for the enforcement of zoning regulations. The bill would have no State fiscal impacts.

**CCAPA POSITION:** **CCAPA** strongly supports RB – 5713 to revise Section 8-12a as proposed. **CCAPA** believes the treble damages clause is unnecessary and counterproductive to efficient and effective zoning regulations enforcement.